

CPCH9962618

## Patent Office of the People's Republic of China

Address: Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office, No. 6 Tucheng Road West, Haidian District, Beijing.Postal code: 100088

Applicant	AJINOMOTO	CO., INC.	Seal of Examiner	Date of Issue	
Agent	China Patent	Agent (H.K.) Ltd.		February 21, 2003	
Patent Application No.	99121576.1	Application October 19, Date 1999	Exam Dept.		
		D PRODUCING BACTERIUN LUTAMIC ACID	1 AND PROC	ESS FOR RECEIV	Έ[

JUN 1 2 2003

## First Office Action

00/2900

	TECH CENTER 160
1. Pursuant to the provision of Article 35 (1) of the Chinese Patent Law, the made an examination as to substance of the captioned patent appliance invention upon the request for substantive examination filed by the a on	lication for
Pursuant to the provision of Article 35 (2) of the Chinese Patent Law, to Patent Office has decided to conduct on its own initiative an examinable substance of the captioned patent application for invention.	
<ul> <li>2. In applicant requests taking the filing date, October 19, 1998</li></ul>	tent Office, the ce as the priority organ of the organ of the vant to the
3. The applicant filed amended application document(s) on and	
<ul> <li>□ Examination has confirmed that</li></ul>	nnot be n of Article 33 of

2201

the	or the specific reason that the amendment(s) canno Office Action.	ot be accepted, see the text of
☑ 11 ir 1 d sı	ne examination is conducted in the light of the origin the examination is conducted in the light of the follows the original application documents submitted on the description of the description (s); Claim(s) of the description (s); Claim(s) page(s) of the description (s) the filing of the description submitted on the filing of the description	wing application document(s): ne filing date: Claim(s) <u>4-12,</u> n, Figure(s) of theof the description, Figure(s), page (s) of the
C II 🔽 I 🔽	he present Office Action has been prepared withou conducted. he present Office Action has been prepared with a s conducted. he following reference document(s) is/are cited in the number(s) will, continue to be used throughout the ex	search having been nis Office Action (its/their serial
No.	Number or Title of Document	Date of Publication (or filing date of interfering
180.		application)
1		(Date) September 6, 1988
2	JP4365493	(Date) December 17, 1992
3		(Date)
4	!	(24.0)
L	e concluding comments of the examiner are:	·
6. The	the description: he content of the application comes within the scop granted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law.	pe where no patent right is
6. The	the description: he content of the application comes within the scop granted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law. he description is not in conformity with the provision w. The drafting of the description is not in conformity with	oe where no patent right is of Article 26(3) of the Patent
6. The	the description: he content of the application comes within the scop granted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law. he description is not in conformity with the provision aw. he drafting of the description is not in conformity with mplementing Regulations.	oe where no patent right is of Article 26(3) of the Patent
6. The	the description: he content of the application comes within the scorpranted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law. he description is not in conformity with the provision aw. The drafting of the description is not in conformity with mplementing Regulations. The claims: Claim comes within the scope where no patent right	oe where no patent right is of Article 26(3) of the Patent on the provision of Rule 18 of the
6. The	the description: he content of the application comes within the scorpranted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law. he description is not in conformity with the provision aw. The drafting of the description is not in conformity with mplementing Regulations. The claims: Claim comes within the scope where no patent right 25 of the Patent Law. Claim is not in conformity with the definition of inventions.	oe where no patent right is of Article 26(3) of the Patent in the provision of Rule 18 of the is granted as provided in Article
6. The	the description: he content of the application comes within the scorpranted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law. he description is not in conformity with the provision aw. he drafting of the description is not in conformity with mplementing Regulations. In the claims: Claim comes within the scope where no patent right	oe where no patent right is of Article 26(3) of the Patent in the provision of Rule 18 of the is granted as provided in Article ion in Rule 2(1) of the
6. The	the description: he content of the application comes within the scorpranted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law. he description is not in conformity with the provision aw. The drafting of the description is not in conformity with mplementing Regulations. The claims: Claim comes within the scope where no patent right 25 of the Patent Law. Claim is not in conformity with the definition of inventional mplementing Regulations. Claim does not possess novelty as provided aw.	be where no patent right is of Article 26(3) of the Patent in the provision of Rule 18 of the is granted as provided in Article on in Rule 2(1) of the lin Article 22(2) of the Patent
6. The	the description: he content of the application comes within the scorpranted as provided in Article 5 of the Patent Law. he description is not in conformity with the provision aw. The drafting of the description is not in conformity with mplementing Regulations. The claims: Claim comes within the scope where no patent right 25 of the Patent Law. Claim is not in conformity with the definition of inventing mplementing Regulations. Claim does not possess novelty as provided	be where no patent right is of Article 26(3) of the Patent in the provision of Rule 18 of the is granted as provided in Article ion in Rule 2(1) of the In Article 22(2) of the Patent provided in Article 22(3) of the

2201 2

the Patent Law.

$\checkmark$	Claim3 is not in conformity with the provision of Article 26(4) of the Patent
	_aw.
	Claim is not in conformity with the provision of Article 31(1) of the Patent
	Law.
abla	Claim1 is not in conformity with the provisions of Rules 20-23 of the
	mplementing Regulations.
	Claim is not in conformity with the provision of Article 9 of the Patent Law.
	Claim is not in conformity of the provision of Rule 12(1) of the Implementing
	Regulations.
	specific analyses of the above concluding comments, see the text of this Office Action.
7.	In view of the above concluding comments, the examiner holds that:
	ne applicant should amend the application document in accordance with the requirements raised in the text of this Office Action. The amended document(s) should be submitted in duplicate and should conform to the provisions of Article 33 of the Patent Law and Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law. The applicant should expound in his Observations the reasons why the captioned
	patent application is patentable and amend the places not conforming to regulations as pointed out in the text of the Office Action, otherwise it would be impossible for the patent right to be granted.
	he captioned patent application contains no substantive content for which the
	patent right may be granted, thus if the applicant has not advanced his reasons or
	has not done so adequately, the application will be rejected.
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
8.	<ul> <li>The applicant should pay attention to the following matters:</li> <li>(1) In accordance with the provision of Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant should submit his/its Observations within <u>four</u> months from the date of receipt of this Office Action; if, without any justified reason, the time limit for making response is not met, the application will be deemed to have been withdrawn.</li> </ul>
	(2) The amendments made by the applicant to his application should conform to the provision of Article 33 of the Patent Law, the amended text should be in duplicate and the format should conform to the relevant provisions of the Guidelines for Examination.
	(3) The applicant's Observations or amended text should be mailed or presented to the Receiving Section of the Chinese Patent Office. Document no mailed or presented to the Acceptance Section have no legal force.
	(4) Without making an appointment, the applicant and/or agent may not come to the Chinese Patent Office to hold an interview with the examiner.
9.	This Office Action consists of the text portion totalling 1 page(s) and of the following annex(es):
Ø	2 duplicate copies of the reference document(s) cited totalling 2 page(s).

## 中华人民共和国国家知识产权局

邮政编码: 100032			平王	
北京市西城区	金融街 27 号投资广场 B B	本10 巨		7
•			印佩	Hausz
中国专利代理	2(香港)有限公司 北京	少事处		
	卢新华 谭明胜		审查员 /	(九中食业务专用草、
			į.	不具备法律效力)
				155
申请号: 9912	1576.1 部门及通知书类	<u>き型:4-D</u> ち	文日期:	
申请人:		味之素株式会	:社	Can de la constitución de la con
发明名称:	L一谷氨酮	设生产菌和生产 L	一谷氨酸的方法	A Commence of the Commence of
	第一次审	<b>宣</b> 查意见通知	书	1962618
1	请求,根据专利法第 35 条第 1	款的规定。 审查品表	/ +上冰发明去利由读供	ι
<del>_</del>	条第2款的规定,国家知识产权			
2. 🛛 申请人要求以其在		501-10 (VC ELIT VI TENE)	人名 不得不得处的 里	<b>z. •</b>
日本	专利局的申请日 199			
. <del></del>	_ 专利局的申请日	为优先		
	_ 专利局的申请日	为优先	:权日,	
	专利局的申请日	为优先	E权日,	
	专利局的申请日	为优先	:权日,	
☑ 申请人已经提交	了经原申请国受理机关证明的第	第一次提出的在先申记	<b>青文件的副本。</b>	•
	经原申请国受理机关证明的第-			可法第 30 条的规定视为
未提出优先权要				
3. 🗌 申请人于年_	月日和年月日提交	了修改文件。		
经审查,其中:	年月日提交的	不能被接受:年	月日提交的	_不能被接受;
因为上述修改	□ 不符合专利法第 33 条的	规定。 🔲 不符合	实施细则第 51 条的规	]定。
修改不能被接受	<b>是的具体理由见通知书正文部分</b>	· .		
4. □ 审查是针对原始	申请文件进行的。			
☑ 审查是针对下述	申请文件进行的:			
说明书	申请日提交的原始申请文	件的第 <u>4-12,14-15</u> 页	į;	
	<u>2000</u> 年 2 月 22 日振	是交的第 <u>1-3,13</u> 页;	年月日提交	的第页:
	年月日提交的	的第 <b></b> 页:年	月日提交的第	页:
权利要求	申请日提交的原始申请文	件的第 <u>1</u> 页:		
	年月日提交的	的第页:年	月日提交的第	页;
	年月日提交的	的第页:年	月日提交的第	页:
附图	申请日提交的原始申请文·	件的第 <u>2 页</u> ;		
	年月日提交的	的第页;年	月日提交的第	页;
	年月日提交的	内第页:年	月日提交的第	页;
说明书摘要	☑申请日提交的:	□年_	_月日提交的;	- 8 JUL 2003
摘要附图	□申请日提交的:	年_	_月日提交的。	2 2000

- ~ 5. □ 本通知书是在未进行检索的情况下作出的。
  - ☑ 本通知书是在进行了检索的情况下作出的。
    - ☑ 本通知书引用下述对比文献(其编号在今后的审查过程中继续沿用):

编号	文 件 号 或 名 称	公 开 日 期 (或抵触申请的申请日)	
1	JP63214189	1988年9月6日	
2	JP4365493	1992年12月17日	
3		年 月 日	
4		年 月 日	

	1	JP63214189	1988年9	月6日
	2	JP4365493	1992年12	2月17日
	3		年	月日
	4		年	月日
6	宙茶的			
٥.		长于说明书:		
	^	□ 申谐的内容属于专利法第5条规定的不授予专利权的范围。		
		□ 说明书不符合专利法第 26 条第 3 款的规定。		
		□ 说明书的撰写不符合实施细则第 18 条的规定。		
	⊠ ≐	关于权利要求书:		
	231.7	□ 权利要求 不具备专利法第 22 条第 2 款规定的新颖性。		
		✓ 权利要求 1、2 不具备专利法第 22 条第 3 款规定的创造性。		
		□ 权利要求 不具备专利法第 22 条第 4 款规定的实用性。		
		□ 权利要求 属于专利法第 25 条规定的不授予专利权的范围。		
		☑ 权利要求 3 不符合专利法第 26 条第 4 款的规定。		
		□ 权利要求 不符合专利法第 31 条第 1 款的规定。		
		□ 权利要求不符合实施细则第2条第1款关于发明的定义。		
		□ 权利要求 不符合实施细则第13条第1款的规定。		
		☑ 权利要求 1 不符合实施细则第 20 条至第 23 条的规定。		
	上述	结论性意见的具体分析见本通知书的正文部分。		
7.	基于	上述结论性意见,审查员认为:		
		申请人应按照通知书正文部分提出的要求,对申请文件进行修改。		
		申请人应在意见陈述书中论述其专利申请可以被授予专利权的理由,并对通知书	正文部分中指出	山的不符合
		规定之处进行修改,否则将不能授予专利权。		
	$\boxtimes$	<b>专利申请中没有可以被授予专利权的实质性内容,如果申请人没有陈述理由或者</b>	陈述理由不充分	},其申
		请将被驳回。		
8.	申请。	人应注意下述事项:		
	(1)	根据专利法第 37 条的规定,申请人应在收到本通知书之日起的肆个月内	陈述意见, 如果	申请人
		无正当理由逾期不答复,其申请将被视为撤回。		
	(2)	申请人对其申请的修改应符合专利法第 33 条的规定,修改文本应一式两份,	具格式应符合审	·查指南
		的有关规定。		
	(3)	申请人的意见陈述书和/或修改文本应邮寄或递交给国家知识产权局专利局受	理处,凡未邮寄	『或递交
		给受理处的文件不具备法律效力。		
	. (4)	未经预约,申请人和/或代理人不得前来国家知识产权局专利局与审查员举行	会晤。	

- 9. 本通知书正文部分共有 1\_页, 并附有下述附件:
  - ☑ 引用的对比文件的复印件共2份2页。

## 第一次审查意见通知书

本申请涉及 L一谷氨酸生产菌和使用该细菌通过发酵方法生产 L一谷氨酸的方法。

权利要求 1 不具备创造性。对比文件 1-JP63214189 公开了一种棒状杆菌,该细菌重组了选自具有谷氨酸生产能力的棒状杆菌的谷氨酸脱氢酶基因及柠檬酸合成酶基因的 DNA,并且指出上述基因与谷氨酸的生物合成途径相关(参见该对比文件说明书摘要)。而将肠细菌如埃希氏菌属细菌作为载体生产谷氨酸是本领域常用的技术手段,因此将已知的具有谷氨酸生产能力的基因片断导入已知的用于生产谷氨酸的载体对本领域技术人员来说是显而易见的,本领域技术人员在对比文件 1 的基础上结合本领域公知常识不需要花费创造性劳动即可得到权利要求 1 所要保护的技术方案,并且该技术方方案也没有产生预料不到的技术效果。因此,权利要求 1 不具备创造性,不符合专利法第 22 条第 3 款的规定。

权利要求 2 不具备创造性。对比文件 2-JP4365493 公开了用属于乳发酵短杆菌菌株生产谷氨酸的方法(参见该对比文件说明书摘要)。而将肠细菌作为载体生产谷氨酸是本领域常用的技术手段,因此将对比文件 2 所述的乳发酵短杆菌的具有谷氨酸生产能力的基因片断导入已知的用于生产谷氨酸的载体对本领域技术人员来说是显而易见的,由此可知,本领域技术人员在对比文件 1 和 2 的基础上结合本领域公知常识得到权利要求 2 要求保护的技术方案是显而易见的,并且该技术方案也没有产生预料不到的技术效果。因此,权利要求 2 不具备创造性,不符合专利法第 22 条第 3 款的规定。

权利要求 3 没有以说明书为依据。该权利要求所述的"属于肠杆菌属或克雷伯氏菌属的细菌"概括了一个较宽的范围,而说明书实施例中只给出了用成团肠杆菌或植生克雷伯氏菌这两种菌株生产 L一谷氨酸的方法。本领域技术人员根据专业知识及说明书不能概括得出或直接做出所有的"属于肠杆菌或克雷伯氏菌属的细菌"均能达到本发明的目的。因此该权利要求不能得到说明书的支持,不符合专利法第 26 条第 4 款的规定。

即使申请人将权利要求1进行限定,本申请仍存在如下不足:

权利要求 1 不清楚。本申请说明书只描述了通过构建具体的基因质粒,并将其引入特定 L一谷氨酸生产菌株的实施方式,而该权利要求要求保护的技术方案使用了功能性限定,这样的描述覆盖了所用能够实现所述功能的实施方式,从而导致该权利要求保护范围不清楚,不符合专利法实施细则第 20 条第 1 款的规定。

基于上述理由,本申请按照目前的文本还不能被授予专利权。如果申请人按照本通知书提出的审查意见对申请文件进行修改,克服所存在的缺陷,则本申请可望被授予专利权,否则本申请将被驳回。请申请人注意,对申请文件的修改应当符合专利法第 33 条的规定,不得超出原说明书和权利要求书记载的范围,并且修改应符合专利法实施细则第 51 条第 1 款的规定。